

COMMITTEE PRINT

First + Last Page copied

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON EXPENDITURES IN THE EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS

REPORT AND ANALYSIS

BY THE

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE SERVICE

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

NO T

H. R. 2319
(80th Congress)

TO PROMOTE THE NATIONAL SECURITY BY PROVIDING FOR A NATIONAL DEFENSE ESTABLISHMENT, WHICH SHALL BE ADMINISTERED BY A SECRETARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE, AND FOR A DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, A DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY, AND A DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE WITHIN THE NATIONAL DEFENSE ESTABLISHMENT, AND FOR THE COORDINATION OF THE ACTIVITIES OF THE NATIONAL, DEFENSE ESTABLISHMENT WITH OTHER DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES OF THE GOVERNMENT CONCERNED WITH THE NATIONAL SECURITY



Printed for the use of the Committee on Expenditures in the Executive Departments

UNITED STATES
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON: 1947

99095

EXPENDITURES IN THE EXCUTIVE DEPARTMENTS

On the other hand, long-run savings and increased efficiency will result if the Establishment and the agencies under it succeed in achieving hoped-for economies in facilities, supplies, research and scientific development, and other areas. It should also be pointed out that opponents of unification expressed skepticism concerning the claims made of the economies that it would

5. The proposed plan and the proposed executive order contained in the President's release of January 16 indicate that the Army has agreed to accept the Navy's position respecting the Army has agreed to accept the Marine Corps. The plan role of the Navy air arm and of the Marine Corps. The plan provides for a Department of the Air Force, but the Air Force is to be constituted by transfer of the Army Air Forces; the Air Corps, United States Army; and the General Headquarters Air Force (Air Force Combat Command). These decisions remove some of the Navy Department's more serious objections both to unification and to the creation of a separate Department of the Air Force. It could be argued, however, that the decision to leave Navy's air arm intact makes less necessary than ever the creation of a separate Department of the Air Force.

6. The mechanism for coordinating the National Defense

6. The mechanism for coordinating the National Defense 6. The mechanism for coordinating the National Defense Establishment with civilian agencies has not at any time constituted an issue between the War and Navy Departments. Stituted an issue between the War and Navy Departments. The present proposals, calling for a National Security Council, a Central Intelligence Agency, and a National Security Resources Board, have been generally accepted from the time of the Eber-Board, have been generally accepted from the time of the Eber-Stadt recommendations. The State-War-Navy Coordinating stadt recommendations. The State-War-Navy Coordinating creations, have performed some of these coordinating functions. The proposed agencies are related to, but not a part of the organization of the armed forces, and under the proposed bill would not be a part of the National Defense Establishment.

Since this report deals with the general problems involved in the reorganization of the armed forces, no attempt will be made to analyze the more detailed administrative provisions in the proposed bill. Difference of opinion regarding some of them may well arise. basic question, however, is whether the compromise reached provides, under all the circumstances, a more promising arrangement than either unification or the joint board and joint committee type of organization. One of the major difficulties in attempting to reach an answer to this question is the difficulty of visualizing how the proposed method of organization would actually operate. The essence of the compromise is to superimpose a Secretary of National Defense, head of a National Defense Establishment, on the coordinating machinery recommended in the Eberstadt report. Perhaps in the course of hearings and discussion more light will be thrown on the Secretary's role and on the degree of independence reserved to the departments. Pending further discussion and clarification of these matters, widely differing conclusions are bound to be drawn concerning the significance of the proposed organization, and judgment as to its efficacy will be largely hypothetical.